CORRIGENDUM

When the Judiciary Flouts Separation of Powers: Attenuating the Credibility of the National Prosecuting Authority







Pioneer in peer-reviewed, open access online law publications

Author

Lindiwe Magutu

Affiliation

University of KwaZulu-Natal South Africa

Email Maqutul@ukzn.ac.za

Date published

30 December 2015 Original article 19 July 2018 Corrigendum

Editors Dr A Gildenhuys and Prof C Rautenbach

How to cite this article

Maqutu L "When the Judiciary Flouts Separation of Powers: Attenuating the Credibility of the National Prosecuting Authority" 2015(18)7 PER / PELJ 2671-2689-Corrigendum

Copyright



DOI:

http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/pelj.v18i7.

Corrigendum

[Original article] L Maqutu "When the Judiciary Flouts Separation of Powers: Attenuating the Credibility of the National Prosecuting Authority" 2015(18)7 PER / PELJ 2671-2689 accessible at

https://journals.assaf.org.za/per/article/view/1146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4314/pelj.v18i7.08

The following citations were erroneously omitted and should be added to the original text:

- Add footnote on page 2690 as follows: "The rationale for allowing the judicial review of executive action was premised on narrow parameters where the exercise of power was deemed to be arbitrary and *mala fides*."
- 2. Add footnote on page as follows: "The common law power of review based on legality appears to be evolving in a manner that circumvents the prohibitions set by the legislature through expanding the scope of the rationality enquiry to include classic administrative factors".²
- Add the following source to the bibliography: Kohn L "The Burgeoning Constitutional Requirement of Rationality and the Separation of Powers: Has Rationality Gone too far?" 2013 SALJ 810-836.

Keywords

National Prosecuting Authority reputation; judicial review of executive conduct; review for legality; separation of powers

.....

¹ Kohn 2013 SALJ 828.

² Kohn 2013 SALJ 828.